## NESHAP ASBESTOS SURVEY AND LEAD BASED PAINT REPORT The Florida's Turnpike Overpass Bridge FDOT Structure No. 940050

Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study Midway Road (CR 712) from Glades Cut Off Road (CR 709) to Selvitz Road (CR 615) St. Lucie County, Florida

> Financial Project ID: 231440-3-22-01 Federal Aid Number: ETDM Number: 14177



Florida Department of Transportation District 4 3400 West Commercial Boulevard Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309

## NESHAP ASBESTOS SURVEY AND LEAD BASED PAINT REPORT The Florida's Turnpike Overpass Bridge FDOT Structure No. 940050

Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study Midway Road (CR 712) from Glades Cut Off Road (CR 709) to Selvitz Road (CR 615) St. Lucie County, Florida

## Financial Project ID: 231440-3-22-01 Federal Aid Number: ETDM Number: 14177

Prepared for: Inwood Consulting Engineers 3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200 Oviedo, Florida 32765

Prepared by:

**TIERRA SOUTH FLORIDA, INC.** 2765 Vista Parkway, Suite 10 West Palm Beach, Florida 33411

TSF Project No.: 7111-15-154

May 2016

## NESHAP ASBESTOS SURVEY AND LEAD BASED PAINT REPORT

## Midway Road (CR 712) Florida Turnpike (SR 91) Overpass Bridge

## (FDOT Structure No. 940050)

St. Lucie County, Florida

FPID: 231440-3-22-01

Tierra South Florida Project No.: 7111-15-154

May 2016



Prepared for:

Inwood Consulting Engineers 3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200 Oviedo, Florida

Prepared by:

TIERRA SOUTH FLORIDA, INC. 2765 Vista Parkway, Suite 10 West Palm Beach, Florida

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXE	ECUTI	/E SUMMARY 1
1.0	INT	RODUCTION
	1.1	Project Objective 2
2.0	BRI	DGE DESCRIPTION
3.0	FIE	LD ACTIVITIES
	3.1	Visual Assessment 3
	3.2	Physical Assessment 3
	3.3	Sample Collection 3
	3.4	Sample Analysis 3
4.0	PLA	N REVIEW
5.0	REG	GULATORY OVERVIEW 4
	5.1	Asbestos Regulations 4
	5.2	Metals-Based Protective Coatings and Lead-Based Paint Regulations
6.0	FIN	DINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
	6.1	Asbestos 6
	6.2	Heavy Metals-Based Protective Coatings
7.0	GEI	NERAL COMMENTS

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Photograph Log
Appendix B	Certifications and Licenses
Appendix C	Laboratory Results

NESHAP Asbestos Survey and Lead Based Paint Report Midway Road (CR712) Florida's Turnpike Overpass Bridge (FDOT Structure No. 940050) TSF Project No.: 7111-15-154 FPID: 231440-3-22-01 Page 1 of 7

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Tierra South Florida, Inc. (TSF) conducted a National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) asbestos survey and screening for Lead based coatings of the Midway Road (CR712) over The Florida's Turnpike bridge structure, located in St. Lucie County, Florida. The bridge structure is identified as Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Structure Number 940050. It is our understanding that the FDOT is planning to renovate and/or demolish the bridge structure.

The purpose of the *survey* was to identify and sample suspect Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) and screen metal surfaces for suspected lead based paint and/or protective coatings.

The purpose of this *report* is to provide information regarding the identity, location and approximate quantities of these materials so that proper remediation and disposal methods can be evaluated.

The survey was conducted on April 12, 2016 by an Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) accredited inspector in general accordance with the sampling protocols established in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), part 763. A total of seven (7) bulk samples were collected from three (3) homogeneous areas of suspect ACM. Five (5) paint chip samples were collected from various painted surfaces for testing of LBP.

- No Asbestos Containing Materials were identified as a result of laboratory Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) tests.
- LBP was identified in all five paint chip samples obtained from painted surfaces. LBP concentrations ranged from 26.4 mg/kg on white paint over caulking located under the bridge to 14,700 mg/kg on the guard rail located along south-east side of bridge overpass.

A photographic log of homogenous areas is presented in **Appendix A**. Certifications and Licenses are included in **Appendix B**. The reports of laboratory analysis are provided in **Appendix C**.

This *Executive Summary* provides a brief overview of work activities completed in association with the proposed roadway improvement project. The reader should utilize the detailed information presented within this report for specific information regarding any area of particular interest.

NESHAP Asbestos Survey and Lead Based Paint Report Midway Road (CR712) Florida's Turnpike Overpass Bridge (FDOT Structure No. 940050) TSF Project No.: 7111-15-154 FPID: 231440-3-22-01 Page 2 of 7

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

TSF conducted an asbestos survey and screening for lead-based coatings of the following bridge structure located in St. Lucie County:

• Midway Road (CR 712) over The Florida's Turnpike – Structure No. 940050

The survey was conducted in April 12, 2016 by an Asbestos Hazard and Emergency Response Act (AHERA) accredited asbestos inspector. The certification for Mr. Don Polanis is presented in **Appendix B**. Suspect Asbestos Containing Material (ACM) samples were collected in general accordance with the sampling protocols outlined in Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulation 40 CFR 763. Samples were shipped under chain of custody to an accredited laboratory for analysis by Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM). The work described herein was performed under the direction of Mr. Scott Crandall, P.E., a Florida Licensed Asbestos Consultant (License No. EA0000060). A certification page for this report is presented in **Appendix B**. The results of laboratory analysis are provided in **Appendix C**.

## 1.1 **Project Objective**

We understand this asbestos survey was requested due to the planned renovation and/or demolition of the existing bridge structure. EPA regulation 40 CFR 61, Subpart M, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP), prohibits the release of asbestos fibers and other hazardous air pollutants to the atmosphere during renovation or demolition activities. The asbestos NESHAP requires that potentially regulated asbestos-containing building materials be identified, classified and quantified prior to planned disturbances or demolition activities. Based on the planned demolition and/or renovation of this bridge structure a lead based paint survey was also conducted to identify painted surfaces that may contain lead.

## 2.0 BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

According to the Ugly Bridges.com National Bridge Inventory Data, the existing bridge was constructed in 1957. The Midway Road (CR 712) over The Florida's Turnpike (SR 91) bridge structure (940050) is approximately 174 feet in length and the largest span is approximately 50 feet. The deck width is 33 feet and the maximum vertical clearance is 16.1 feet. Bridge embankment control is achieved with concrete backwalls on both ends of the bridge structure. There are 3 Main steel spans with a cast-in-place concrete deck. Guardrails at the approaches are galvanized "W" channel steel and painted steel on steel and wooden posts.

## 3.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

The survey was conducted by Mr. Don Polanis, an AHERA-accredited asbestos inspector. This report has been reviewed by a Licensed Asbestos Consultant (LAC) in accordance with the FDEP requirements for asbestos survey reports. A copy of the asbestos inspector's certificate and the signed review statement from the LAC are presented in **Appendix B**. The survey was conducted in general accordance with the sample collection protocols established in EPA regulation 40 CFR 763. A summary of the survey activities performed is provided below.

NESHAP Asbestos Survey and Lead Based Paint Report Midway Road (CR712) Florida's Turnpike Overpass Bridge (FDOT Structure No. 940050) TSF Project No.: 7111-15-154 FPID: 231440-3-22-01 Page 3 of 7

## 3.1 Visual Assessment

Field survey activities began with a visual observation of the structure to identify homogeneous areas of suspect ACM. A homogeneous material consists of building materials that appear similar throughout in terms of color, texture and date of application. Building materials identified as steel, glass, wood, masonry, metal or rubber were not considered suspect ACM. If surfaces are covered with protective coatings those materials are noted and sampled.

A visual inspection of the bridge structure was performed to identify painted surfaces with possible lead based coatings. Painted surfaces were observed on various materials during this screening. Painted surfaces included guardrails, caulking, and painted metal structural bearing pad surfaces. No fiber or asphalt paper was noted on the bearing pad area only painted metal surfaces.

## 3.2 Physical Assessment

A physical assessment of each homogeneous area of suspect ACM and LPB was conducted to assess the friability and condition of the materials. A friable material is defined by the EPA as a material which can be crumbled, pulverized or reduced to powder by hand pressure when dry. Friability was assessed by physically touching suspect materials.

## 3.3 Sample Collection

Based on results of the visual observation, bulk samples of suspect ACM were collected in general accordance with AHERA sampling protocols. Representative samples of suspect materials were collected in each homogeneous area. Generally, three (3) suspect ACMs were collected from the bridge structure. TSF personnel collected bulk samples using wet methods to reduce the potential for fiber release. Samples were placed in sealable containers and labeled with unique sample numbers using an indelible marker.

Painted surface samples were collected by collecting representatives paint chip samples from the painted surface material. The paint chip samples were placed into sealable containers, labeled and submitted for laboratory analysis.

## 3.4 Sample Analysis

Bulk samples of ACM were submitted under chain of custody to Dove Environmental Corp. (Dove) of Miramar, Florida for analysis by PLM with dispersion staining techniques per EPA methodology (40 CFR 763, Subpart F). The percentage of asbestos, where applicable, was determined by microscopic visual estimation. LBP samples were submitted to Pace Analytical Laboratory, a NELAC accredited laboratory, for Total Lead analysis by EPA Test Method 6010.

The U.S. EPA and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) define asbestos containing material (ACM) as any material which contains greater than one percent asbestos. When samples analyzed by PLM contain asbestos in amounts less than ten percent (<10%), a more exact method of analysis called point counting may be performed at the client's request. The EPA point count method allows a sample in which asbestos was visually detected, but which is visually estimated to have 10% or less asbestos, to be quantified using a point count procedure. If not point counted, a sample in which asbestos was visually detected and estimated (including trace to  $\leq1\%$ ) must be

NESHAP Asbestos Survey and Lead Based Paint Report Midway Road (CR712) Florida's Turnpike Overpass Bridge (FDOT Structure No. 940050) TSF Project No.: 7111-15-154 FPID: 231440-3-22-01 Page 4 of 7

assumed to be greater than 1% and treated as an ACM. The EPA point counting procedure is as follows: an ocular reticule (cross hair or point array) is used to visually superimpose a point or points on the microscope field of view. A total of 400 points superimposed on either asbestos fibers or non-asbestos matrix material must be counted over at least eight different preparations of representative sub-samples. If an asbestos fiber and matrix particle overlap so that a point is superimposed on their visual intersection, a point is scored for both categories. Point counting provides a quantification of the area percent asbestos. Per EPA's regulations, materials which have been point-counted and, therefore, quantitatively determined to have less than or equal to one percent (≤1%) asbestos, can be treated as non-ACM. No samples were point counted during this survey.

A discussion of suspect ACM and LBP samples collected during the survey is included in **Section 6.0**.

## 4.0 PLAN REVIEW

Tierra requested the "as built" construction plans for the existing bridge structure to review for suspect Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) and metals-based coatings. However, the client indicated via email there was no record of "as built" bridge construction plans from the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), county or city for this bridge structure.

## 5.0 REGULATORY OVERVIEW

## 5.1 Asbestos Regulations

NESHAP (40 CFR Part 61, Subpart M) regulates asbestos fiber emissions and asbestos waste disposal practices. It also requires the identification and classification of existing building materials prior to demolition or renovation activity. Under NESHAP, asbestos-containing building materials are classified as either friable, Category I non-friable or Category II non-friable ACM. Friable materials are those that, when dry, may be crumbled, pulverized or reduced to powder by hand pressure. Category I non-friable ACM includes packings, gaskets, resilient floor coverings and asphalt roofing products containing more than 1% asbestos. Category II non-friable ACM are any materials other than Category I materials that contain more than 1% asbestos.

Friable ACM, Category I and Category II non-friable ACM which are in poor condition and has become friable or which will be subjected to drilling, sanding, grinding, cutting or abrading and which could be crushed or pulverized during anticipated renovation or demolition activities are considered Regulated ACM (RACM).

In the state of Florida, asbestos activities are regulated by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP). RACM must be removed prior to demolition activities which will disturb the ACM materials. The owner or operator must provide the FDEP with written notification of planned removal activities at least 10 working days prior to the commencement of asbestos abatement activities. Removal of RACM must be conducted by a State of Florida licensed asbestos abatement contractor.

The OSHA Asbestos standard for construction (29 CFR 1926.1101) regulates workplace exposure to asbestos. The OSHA standard requires that employee exposure to airborne asbestos fibers be maintained below 0.1 asbestos fibers per cubic centimeter of air (0.1 f/cc). The OSHA standard classifies construction and maintenance activities which could disturb ACM and specifies work practices and precautions which employers must follow when engaging in each class of regulated work.

NESHAP Asbestos Survey and Lead Based Paint Report Midway Road (CR712) Florida's Turnpike Overpass Bridge (FDOT Structure No. 940050) TSF Project No.: 7111-15-154 FPID: 231440-3-22-01 Page 5 of 7

## 5.2 Metals-Based Protective Coatings and Lead-Based Paint Regulations

Historically, metals such as arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury and zinc were added to paints and other coatings as pigmentation and/or to improve performance, color and longevity. Specific regulations regarding lead-based paints have been developed by the EPA.

Lead-based paint is defined as a surface coating or paint containing lead in excess of 1.0 milligram per square centimeter (mg/cm2) or 0.5% by weight (EPA Toxic Substance Control Act, Section 401). 0.5% is equivalent to 5000 parts per million (ppm). Based on regulations contained in the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (LBPPPA) and promulgated by the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC), lead-based paint is defined as paint containing more than 0.06% lead as of June 1977. In 1978, the CPSC banned the sale of lead-based paint to consumers.

Under EPA regulations lead impacted wastes generated during abatement activities are handled as either a solid waste or a hazardous waste, depending on the amount and form of the metal.

If the maximum level of the contaminant in an extract of a representative sample of the waste stream proposed for disposal, as determined by a Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) laboratory analysis (see *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods,* Test Method 1311, EPA Publication SW-846), is less than the regulatory level set in 40 CFR 261.24, then EPA regulations allow the material to be disposed of as solid waste at a solid waste landfill. If the TCLP analysis equals or exceeds the regulatory level, the material must be managed as a hazardous waste.

Impacted materials that are recycled, such as painted steel beams sent to a scrap metal yard, are not considered waste; therefore, they are exempt from waste disposal regulations, however other occupational exposure and recycling regulations may apply.

OSHA established the Lead Standard for the Construction Industry, 29 CFR 1926.62, which applies to all construction work where an employee may be exposed to lead. These exposures include demolition and salvage of structures where lead or material containing lead are present and removal or encapsulation of materials containing lead, as well as alterations and repairs including painting and decorating. The standard defines the occupationally permissible exposure limit and specific requirements for construction work with lead materials. OSHA does not have a percentage lead in paint action level in their current construction lead standard. OSHA regulations are driven by airborne lead exposure to workers. OSHA considers the lead regulation enforceable if the presence of *any* lead in paint at detectable concentrations is present when demolition or renovation activities are performed.

Any abatement of the lead-based paint or cutting, sanding, and/or grinding of the structures painted with LBP should be performed in accordance with OSHA regulations.

Demolition activities are regulated under the NESHAP statute for general dust control. Specifications for the proper work practices, controls and disposal should be developed to document compliance with all applicable regulations.

NESHAP Asbestos Survey and Lead Based Paint Report Midway Road (CR712) Florida's Turnpike Overpass Bridge (FDOT Structure No. 940050) TSF Project No.: 7111-15-154 FPID: 231440-3-22-01 Page 6 of 7

## 6.0 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## 6.1 Asbestos

On April 12, 2016, a seven (7) bulk samples were collected from three (3) homogeneous areas of suspect ACM at the existing bridge structure. No ACMs were identified as a result of laboratory Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) tests. See photographs in **Appendix A**. No bearing pads were noted during the visual assessment. Therefore no bearing pad samples were collected.

A summary of the suspect ACMs identified is provided in the following table, along with the results from the laboratory analysis. The analytical results are included in **Appendix C**.

Sample No.	Material Description	Sample Location	Lab Results % Asbestos	NESHAP Category
1	White Caulking A	Retaining wall under bridge	Not Detected	N/A
2	White Caulking B	Retaining wall under bridge	Not Detected	N/A
3	White Caulking C	Retaining wall under bridge	Not Detected	N/A
4	Black joint compound A	Top bridge deck	Not Detected	N/A
5	Black joint compound B	Top bridge deck	Not Detected	N/A
6	Black joint compound C	Top bridge deck	Not Detected	N/A
7	Concrete	Bridge abutment	Not Detected	N/A

## Table 1: Summary of ACM S-5A

It should be noted that suspect materials, other than those identified during the survey could exist within the structure in areas not accessible to the inspector at the time of the survey. Should suspect materials other than those which were identified during this survey be uncovered during the renovation/demolition process, those materials should be assumed to be ACM until sampling and analysis can confirm or refute their asbestos content.

A photographic log of homogenous areas is presented in **Appendix A**. Certifications and Licenses are included in **Appendix B**. The reports of laboratory analysis are provided in **Appendix C**.

## 6.2 Heavy Metals-Based Protective Coatings

Five (5) LBP samples were from collected from the bridge structure and bridge approach structures. A summary of LBP analytical results is provided in the table below, along with the results from the laboratory. The analytical results are included in **Appendix C**.

NESHAP Asbestos Survey and Lead Based Paint Report Midway Road (CR712) Florida's Turnpike Overpass Bridge (FDOT Structure No. 940050) TSF Project No.: 7111-15-154 FPID: 231440-3-22-01 Page 7 of 7

Sample No.	Sample Description	Sample Location	Lab Results (mg/kg)
LB-1	Green Bridge Paint	Under bridge deck	41.1
LB-2	Guard rail south-east	South-east guard rail	14,700
LB-3	Bridge Paint SW	Southwest corner of bridge	50.1
LB-4	Guard Rail (old)	Near bridge span	13,400
LB-5	White paint on caulking	Under bridge	26.4

## Table 2: Summary of LBP Sample Results

Five samples were collected from the bridge structure. Sample results ranged from 26.4 mg/kg on the painted caulking on retaining wall under the bridge to 14,700 mg/kg on the old guard rail located along the south east portion of the east bound overpass. Based on the results of the testing two of the samples exceed the USEPA definition for LBP of 5,000 ppm.

DPS recommends that the Contractor review the results and formulate a site specific health and safety plan (HASP) in accordance with federal, state and Contractor health and safety policies and abatement procedures. An overview of Lead regulations is discussed in section 5.2 of this report. Should the Contractor dispose of structures with painted surfaces testing and disposal of those materials should be conducted in accordance with the EPA requirements related to Lead Paint disposal. Should the Contractor recycle the structural steel, verify sample requirements if any with the recycling facility. All scrap metals (as defined in Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 261.1(c)(6) and (9)) that are generated during the demolition of the structure may be recycled by a permitted recycling company and are exempt from hazardous waste management standards.

## 7.0 GENERAL COMMENTS

This survey was conducted in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the same locale. The results, findings, conclusions and recommendations expressed in this report are based on conditions observed during our survey of the subject bridge structure. The information contained in this report is relevant to the date on which this survey was performed, and should not be relied upon to represent conditions at a later date. Tierra does not warrant the work of regulatory agencies, laboratories or other third parties supplying information which may have been used in the preparation of this report. No warranty, express or implied, is made.

## **APPENDIX A**

Photograph Log

# Midway Road over The Florida's Turnpike (Bridge No. 940050)



View of FDOT bridge number



Homogeneous Area 1 – White Painted Caulking retaining wall under deck (samples 1, 2, and 3)



Homogeneous Area #1 White Painted Caulking (samples 1, 2, and 3)



Homogeneous Area 2 - Black joint compound Top Deck (Samples 4, 5, and 6)



Homogeneous Area 2 - Black joint compound Top Deck (samples 4, 5, and 6)



Sample # 7 Concrete - bridge abutment



Green Paint under Bridge (LBP Sample #1)



Guardrail South-East (LBP sample #2)



Bridge Paint Southwest (LBP Sample #3)



Guardrail near bridge (southeast guardrail) (LPB Sample #4)



White paint on Caulking under bridge (LBP Sample #5)



View of bearing pads (all metal)

## **APPENDIX B**

Certifications and Licenses

May 2, 2016

RE: NESHAP Asbestos Survey and Lead Based Paint Screening Report Review and Report Certification Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study Midway Road (CR 712) from Glades Cut Off Road (CR 709) to Selvitz Road (CR 615) St. Lucie County, Florida Financial Project ID: 231440-3-22-01 Federal Aid Number: ETDM Number: 14177 TSF Project No.: 7111-15-154

The purpose of this report is to present the results of the Lead Based Paint (LBP) screening and asbestos survey performed on April 13, 2016 at the above referenced project. This survey was conducted in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the same locale. The results, findings, conclusions and recommendations expressed in this report are based on conditions observed during our survey of the subject structure. The information contained in this report is relevant to the date on which this survey was performed, and should not be relied upon to represent conditions at a later date. Review of this report does not warrant the work of regulatory agencies, laboratories or other third parties supplying information which may have been used in the preparation of this report. No warranty, express or implied, is made.

Donald R. Polanis, PSSC CGC Certified Asbestos Inspector

cn=Scott S. Crandall 2016.05.03

FL PE No. 44650 09:16:32 -04'00'

Scott S. Crandall, P.E. Florida Licensed Asbestos Consultant License No. EA0000060

# Vern Roberts Environmental Training, Inc. 13987 94<sup>th</sup> Avenue N Seminole, FL 33776 727-593-3067 Asbestos Survey & Mechanical (Inspector) Refresher

Asbestos Survey & Mechanical (Inspector) Refresher Training

> This is to Certify that Donald R Polanis

Has completed the requisite training for asbestos accreditation under TSCA TITLE II Date of Examination 8/13/15

Date of Course: 8/13/15 Expiration Date 8/13/16 Certificate # 3813152 Course # FL49-0006326 Provider # FL49-0003810

Women Hoffatish Instructor

RICK SCOTT, GOVERNOR

#### KEN LAWSON, SECRETARY

#### STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION ASBESTOS LICENSING UNIT

LICENSE NUMBER

EA0000060

0

The ASBESTOS CONSULTANT - ENGINEER Named below IS LICENSED Under the provisions of Chapter 469 FS. Expiration date: NOV 30, 2016

> CRANDALL, SCOTT S DIVERSIFIED PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CORP 3600 10TH ST NE ST PETERSBURG FL 33704

> > ISSUED: 09/30/2014

DISPLAY AS REQUIRED BY LAW

SEQ # L1409300007877





## **APPENDIX C**

Laboratory Results



Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 5460 Beaumont Center Blvd - Suite 520 Tampa, FL 33634 (813)881-9401

April 21, 2016

Don Polanis Tierra, Inc. 7351 Temple Terrace Highway Tampa, FL 33637

RE: Project: Midway Road Bridge Pace Project No.: 35239090

Dear Don Polanis:

Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory on April 14, 2016. The results relate only to the samples included in this report. Results reported herein conform to the most current TNI standards and the laboratory's Quality Assurance Manual, where applicable, unless otherwise noted in the body of the report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

IA Palmer

Lori Palmer lori.palmer@pacelabs.com Project Manager

Enclosures





Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 5460 Beaumont Center Blvd - Suite 520 Tampa, FL 33634 (813)881-9401

#### CERTIFICATIONS

Project: Midway Road Bridge Pace Project No.: 35239090

#### **Ormond Beach Certification IDs**

8 East Tower Circle, Ormond Beach, FL 32174 Alabama Certification #: 41320 Connecticut Certification #: PH-0216 Delaware Certification: FL NELAC Reciprocity Florida Certification #: 83079 Georgia Certification #: 955 Guam Certification: FL NELAC Reciprocity Hawaii Certification: FL NELAC Reciprocity Illinois Certification #: 200068 Indiana Certification FL NELAC Reciprocity Kansas Certification #: E-10383 Louisiana Certification #: FL NELAC Reciprocity Maryland Certification #: 9911 Mississippi Certification #: 9911 Mississippi Certification #: 236 Montana Certification #: Cert 0074

Nebraska Certification: NE-OS-28-14 Nevada Certification: FL NELAC Reciprocity New York Certification #: 11608 North Carolina Environmental Certificate #: 667 North Carolina Certification #: 12710 North Dakota Certification #: 12710 Oklahoma Certification #: P-216 Oklahoma Certification #: 68-00547 Pennsylvania Certification #: 68-00547 Puerto Rico Certification #: FL01264 South Carolina Certification #: 7N02974 Texas Certification: FL NELAC Reciprocity US Virgin Islands Certification: FL NELAC Reciprocity Virginia Environmental Certification #: 460165 Wyoming Certification #: 9962C Wisconsin Certification #: 399079670 Wyoming (EPA Region 8): FL NELAC Reciprocity



#### SAMPLE SUMMARY

Project: Midway Road Bridge

Pace Project No.: 35239090

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received
35239090001	Green Bridge Paint Under Bridg	Solid	04/12/16 15:30	04/14/16 11:00
35239090002	Guard Rail South East	Solid	04/12/16 15:30	04/14/16 11:00
35239090003	Bridge Paint SW	Solid	04/12/16 15:30	04/14/16 11:00
35239090004	Guard Rail Near Bridge	Solid	04/12/16 15:30	04/14/16 11:00
35239090005	White Paint on Caulking Under	Solid	04/12/16 15:30	04/14/16 11:00



### SAMPLE ANALYTE COUNT

Project: Midway Road Bridge Pace Project No.: 35239090

Lab ID	Sample ID	Method	Analysts	Analytes Reported	Laboratory
35239090001	Green Bridge Paint Under Bridg	EPA 6010	MEW	1	PASI-O
35239090002	Guard Rail South East	EPA 6010	CKJ	1	PASI-O
35239090003	Bridge Paint SW	EPA 6010	MEW	1	PASI-O
35239090004	Guard Rail Near Bridge	EPA 6010	CKJ	1	PASI-O
35239090005	White Paint on Caulking Under	EPA 6010	MEW	1	PASI-O



Project: Midway Road Bridge

#### Pace Project No.: 35239090

Sample:	Green Bridge Paint Under Bridg	Lab ID:	35239090001	Collected	1: 04/12/16	15:30	Received: 04/	14/16 11:00 Ma	trix: Solid	
Results r	reported on a "wet-weight"	basis								
	Parameters	Results	Units	PQL	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
6010 MET ICP Analytical Method: EPA 6010 Preparation Method: EPA 3050										
Lead		41.1	mg/kg	4.0	2.0	1	04/20/16 19:40	04/21/16 08:43	7439-92-1	



Project: Midway Road Bridge

Pace Project No.: 35239090

Sample: Guard Rail South East	Lab ID:	35239090002	Collected	: 04/12/16	15:30	Received: 04/	14/16 11:00 Ma	atrix: Solid	
Results reported on a "wet-weight"	' basis								
Parameters	Results	Units	PQL	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
6010 MET ICP	010 Prepara	ation Metho	d: EPA	3050					
Lead	14700	mg/kg	19.2	9.6	5	04/20/16 19:40	04/21/16 14:59	7439-92-1	



Project: Midway Road Bridge

Pace Project No.: 35239090

Sample: Bridge Paint SW Lab ID: 35239090003			Collected:	04/12/16	15:30	Received: 04/	14/16 11:00 Ma	Matrix: Solid	
Results reported on a "wet-weight"	basis								
Parameters	Results	Units	PQL	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
6010 MET ICP	Analytical	Method: EPA 60	)10 Prepara	ation Metho	d: EPA	3050			
Lead	50.0	mg/kg	4.9	2.5	1	04/20/16 19:40	04/21/16 07:12	7439-92-1	



Project: Midway Road Bridge

Pace Project No.: 35239090

Sample: Guard Rail Near Bridge	Lab ID:	35239090004	Collected	I: 04/12/16	15:30	Received: 04/	14/16 11:00 Ma	atrix: Solid	
Results reported on a "wet-weight"	basis								
Parameters	Results	Units	PQL	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
6010 MET ICP Analytical Method: EPA 6010 Preparation Method: EPA 3050									
Lead	13400	mg/kg	22.9	11.4	5	04/20/16 19:40	04/21/16 15:03	7439-92-1	



Project: Midway Road Bridge

Pace Project No.: 35239090

Sample:	White Paint on Caulking Under	Lab ID:	35239090005	Collected	d: 04/12/16	5 15:30	Received: 04/	14/16 11:00 Ma	atrix: Solid	
Results r	eported on a "wet-weight"	basis								
	Parameters	Results	Units	PQL	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
6010 MET ICP Analytical Method: EPA 6010 Preparation Method: EPA 3050										
Lead		26.4	mg/kg	3.3	1.6	1	04/20/16 19:40	04/21/16 07:27	7439-92-1	



#### **QUALITY CONTROL DATA**

Project:	Midway Road Brid	ge										
Pace Project No .:	35239090											
QC Batch:	MPRP/29954		Analys	is Method:	E	EPA 6010						
QC Batch Method:	EPA 3050		Analys	is Descript	ion: 6	6010 MET Sc	olid					
Associated Lab Sam	ples: 35239090	001										
METHOD BLANK:	1547557		Ν	latrix: Soli	d							
Associated Lab Sam	ples: 35239090	001										
			Blank	R	eporting							
Param	Units	Result	t	Limit	MDL	A	Analyzed	Qua	alifiers			
Lead		mg/kg	0.2	25 U	0.49	Э	0.25 04/2	21/16 08:35	5			
LABORATORY CON	TROL SAMPLE:	1547558										
Param	eter	Units	Spike Conc.	LCS Resu	; Ilt	LCS % Rec	% Rec Limits	c Qı	alifiers			
Lead		mg/kg	12.2		12.8	105	80	-120		-		
MATRIX SPIKE & M	ATRIX SPIKE DUP	PLICATE: 15475	59		1547560							
		25220651011	MS Spiko	MSD Spiko	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	% Poo		Mox	
Parameter	Uni	ts Result	Conc.	Conc.	Result	Result	% Rec	% Rec	70 Rec	RPD	RPD	Qual
Lead	mg/l	kg 172	15.5	16.1	114	163	-377	-59	75-125	35	20	J(M1), J(R1)

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



#### **QUALITY CONTROL DATA**

Project:	Midway Road Bri	dge										
Pace Project No.:	35239090											
QC Batch:	MPRP/29955		Analys	is Method:	E	PA 6010						
QC Batch Method:	EPA 3050		Analys	is Descript	ion: 6	010 MET So	bild					
Associated Lab Sar	nples: 35239090	0002, 3523909000	3, 35239090	004, 35239	9090005							
METHOD BLANK:	1547576		N	latrix: Soli	d							
Associated Lab Sar	nples: 35239090	0002, 3523909000	3, 35239090	004, 35239	9090005							
			Blank	R	eporting							
Paran	neter	Units	Result	t	Limit	MDL		Analyzed	l Qu	alifiers		
Lead		mg/kg	0.2	26 U	0.52	2	0.26 0	4/21/16 06	:41			
LABORATORY COI	NTROL SAMPLE:	1547577										
			Spike	LCS	;	LCS	% F	Rec				
Paran	neter	Units	Conc.	Resu	lt	% Rec	Lim	its	Qualifiers			
Lead		mg/kg	12.1		12.4	102		80-120				
MATRIX SPIKE & M	IATRIX SPIKE DU	PLICATE: 1547	578		1547579							
			MS	MSD								
		35238794001	Spike	Spike	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	% Rec		Max	
Paramete	er Ur	nits Result	Conc.	Conc.	Result	Result	% Rec	% Rec	Limits	RPD	RPD	Qual
Lead	mg	/kg 7.4	72.8	71.1	78.5	79.7	ç	10	01 75-125	1	20	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



#### QUALIFIERS

#### Project: Midway Road Bridge

Pace Project No.: 35239090

#### DEFINITIONS

DF - Dilution Factor, if reported, represents the factor applied to the reported data due to dilution of the sample aliquot.

ND - Not Detected at or above adjusted reporting limit.

MDL - Adjusted Method Detection Limit.

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit.

RL - Reporting Limit.

S - Surrogate

1,2-Diphenylhydrazine decomposes to and cannot be separated from Azobenzene using Method 8270. The result for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Consistent with EPA guidelines, unrounded data are displayed and have been used to calculate % recovery and RPD values.

LCS(D) - Laboratory Control Sample (Duplicate)

MS(D) - Matrix Spike (Duplicate)

DUP - Sample Duplicate

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

NC - Not Calculable.

SG - Silica Gel - Clean-Up

U - Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

N-Nitrosodiphenylamine decomposes and cannot be separated from Diphenylamine using Method 8270. The result reported for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Pace Analytical is TNI accredited. Contact your Pace PM for the current list of accredited analytes.

TNI - The NELAC Institute.

#### LABORATORIES

PASI-O Pace Analytical Services - Ormond Beach

#### ANALYTE QUALIFIERS

- U Compound was analyzed for but not detected.
- J(M1) Estimated Value. Matrix spike recovery exceeded QC limits. Batch accepted based on laboratory control sample (LCS) recovery.
- J(R1) Estimated Value. RPD value was outside control limits.



#### QUALITY CONTROL DATA CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Project: Midway Road Bridge Pace Project No.: 35239090

Lab ID	Sample ID	QC Batch Method	QC Batch	Analytical Method	Analytical Batch
35239090001	Green Bridge Paint Under Bridg	EPA 3050	MPRP/29954	EPA 6010	ICP/17885
35239090002 35239090003 35239090004 35239090005	Guard Rail South East Bridge Paint SW Guard Rail Near Bridge White Paint on Caulking Under	EPA 3050 EPA 3050 EPA 3050 EPA 3050	MPRP/29955 MPRP/29955 MPRP/29955 MPRP/29955	EPA 6010 EPA 6010 EPA 6010 EPA 6010	ICP/17890 ICP/17890 ICP/17890 ICP/17890

"Important Note: By signing this form you are accepting Pace's NET 30 day payment terms and agreeing to late charges of 1.5% per month for any involces not paid within 30 days. F-ALL-Q-020rev.07, 15-May-2007

						12	=	10	9	∞ -	7 0	5	4	ω	N	-	ITEM #	71 /2		Requ	Phon	Email	S	Addre	Comp	Sect		
					ADDITIONAL COMMENTS							Mite for in Casthy Unde	(sourd locil the light Bird	Size and Su	Sound Part South Brest	Comer Big ton Under	SAMPLE ID Waste Water Froduction (A-Z, 0-91,-) Sample IDs MUST BE UNIQUE Trasue Other	Section D Matrix C Seculred Client Information MATRIX / MATRIX / Drinking Wate	$\left( \right)$	ested Due Date/Tyr:	Fax	To: D. Polens	9558 - 63 - 17	385:	Jany Contract	ion A Ired Client Information:	Pace Analytical www.pacelabs.com	35239090
					1	1						Buile	r			- Bri	otsrppl Sampless	codes CODE		Project N	Project N	Purchase		Сору То	Report T	Section		
			k	- 5						_		0	3	5	8	X	MATRIX CODE (see valid code	es to left)	1	lumber:	lame:	9 Order N		5	Ŷ	h B d Project		dağı
			T.	50	IQUISH	$\vdash$			+	+	+	6	5 3	5	2 N	5	SAMPLE TYPE (G=GRAB C=0	COMP)			3	ło.:			3	Informa		
			v	A	SD BY /							12	2	à	572	な	COMPC STAR				S				50	tion:		
	SAMPL			N	AFFILIA							330	330	3:30	3:30	3:30	TIME	8			7				3			
SIGN	ERNA				VTION	$\vdash$			-		+	+				,					So				7		뒱 (	
ATURE	ME AN																END/GR	Ē			00						e Chain	
of SAN	D SIGN		411	2	DA												AB				20						-of-Cus	2
FILEN.	ATUR		4110	-M	Ē	$\square$			+	+	+	+					SAMPLE TEMP AT COLLECTION	N		Q	5.						tody is	2
AU	<b>_</b>		_	11	-				1			T					# OF CONTAINERS			Pace	Pace	Pace	Addr	Com	Atter	Sec	a LEG	I T
NO	2		0	30	IME					_							Unpreserved	-	1	Profile	Project	Quote ence:	ess:	pany N	ition:	tion C ce Info		Ś
15			-0														HNO <sub>3</sub>	Pre				Se	2	lame:	1	rmatio	DCUM	<
18	2		.in	×		$\square$	_	+	3	-	-	-					HCI	serva				S	5	3	5	2	ENT.	>
12			R	+	ACO												Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	tives				201	2	00	2.		All re	
			E	IN	EPT	$\square$		-	-	-	_						Methanol	]″				4	1.	3	15		levan	n. N
V			R	2	ED BY	-		_	_		-	1				_	Analysis Test	Y/N	Н			20	đ	21	2		tfield	<u>'</u>
			T		1 AF			Τ	Т	T	T	1<	4	$\vee$	-	12.	Total lead Gol	10			J	2	1	2	Î		s mus	5
TESI			8		ILIAT		_		_	_	_								Requ			to.	2	à			t be o	
gned			0		NOI.		-	-	+	+	+	+							este			2	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	nil				1
0			B					+	+	+									d An			5	1	2			eted a	5
			F																alys		ite L	2	z	G			accur	1
2			F	-	DATE														is Fi	STAT	ocat	ST	PDE	A			ately.	5
2		$\vdash$	6	T-	$\square$		-	+	-	+	-								Itere	Ü	ģ		ŝ	8			-	•
			-	-	ALL.		+	+	+	-	+		-		-	-			A) pe	ſ		_		AG				
			9	2	Ē		+	+	+	+	+								'n)			RCR	GRO	핗		Pa	1	
Temp i	n °C		10		Π																		UND	≈		ıge:		
				-			-	-	1	1	1				_		Residual Chlorine (Y/N)			I			WAT					
Receive	d on				ω												T					1	ĒR					
108 (1					AMPL												ace					. ۲	٦			1		
Custo Sealed C	dy Cooler				ECC												Pro					9 9	밁			9		
(Y/N	)				TIONC												ect					HER	RINKI					
Samples (Y/N	Intact )				TIONS												No./ Lab I.D.						NG WATER			/		72

WO#:35239090

P	Document Name Sample Condition Upon Re	: eceipt Form		Document Revised: August 11, 2014
Florida Laboratory	16		Issuing Authority: Pace Florida Quality Office	
Sam	ple Condition Upon Recei	pt Form (SCUR	R)	Table Number:
	Client Name: Tierro	a SF	Project #	35239090
Courier: C Fed Ex UPS	USPS Client Commercia	al 🗌 Pace	Othe	r
Tracking # 12 75	E80 03 0059	5061	-	
Custody Seal on Cooler/Box F	Present: 🗌 yes 📈 no Sea	als intact: 🗌 yes 🗌 i	no Date and	Initials of person examining
Packing Material: Dubble	Wrap Bubble Bags None	Other		4/14/10 009
Thermometer Used TP	A-14 Type of Ice: W	et Blue (None)	-	T
Cooler Temperature°C <u>′22</u>	<u>3</u> (Visual) <u>(Correctio</u>	in Factor) $22$ ,	≤(Actual)	sample frozen?
	,			□Yes □No
Receipt of samples satisfa	ctory: 🖓 Yes 🗆 N	0	Rush TAT	requested on COC:
If yes, then all conditions belo	ow were met:	If no, then mark	box & describe	issue (use comments area if necessa
Chain of Custody Present				
Chain of Custody Filled Out	2 22 NOVE-			
Relinquished Signature & Samp Samples Arrived within Hold Tin	oler Name COC			
Sufficient Volume	1			
Correct Containers Used				
Containers Intact				÷.
Cample Labels match 000 (	mplo IDe & data/lime of collection			
Sample Labels match COC (sal	The ID'S & date/time of collection)			Ċ
		No Labels:	No Time/Date o	n Labels:
All containers needing preservation compliance with EPA recommenda	are found to be in tion.			
No Headspace in VOA Vials ( >	6mm):			
Client Natification/ Decolution				
Person Contacted	Dat	e/Time:		
Comments/ Resolution (use bad	ck for additional comments):			
Samples a	ure paint chi	ps		
		1		
Project Manager Review:			Date	9:
	Finished Product	Information Or	nly	
E.P. Sample ID:			Size & Otv	of Bottles Received
			Jaco or ally	x 5 Gal
Production Code:			· <u> </u>	x 2.5 Gal
Date/Time Opened:			·	x 1 Liter
				x 500 mL
Number of Unopened Bottles	Remaining:			x 250 mL x Other:
Extra Sample in S	Shed: Yes No			



DOVE ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

8910 MIRAMAR PARKWAY, SUITE 200 MIRAMAR FL 33025

Tel. (954) 374-9274 Fax: (954) 639-7426

ASBESTOS TEST REPORT

CLIENT : DPS-C ADDRESS: 27915	CORP 5 JOHNSTON RD			PAGE	: 1
PROJECT: MIDWA	V BRIDGE			DATE SAMPLE ID	: 04/15/16 : D604423
CONSULT: DON P	POLONIS			NVLAP Lab Cod	e: 102053-0
LAB NO. : FRI/HOM : DESCRIPTION : ASBESTOS TYPE:	01 NO/NO WHITE CAULKING NONE-DETECTED	LAYERS:	02	SAMPLE NO DATE OF ANALYSI	.: 01 S: 04/15/16
NON FIBERS :	2% CELLULOSE 20% MINERALS 68% BITUMEN			10% PAINT	
LAB NO. : FRI/HOM : DESCRIPTION : ASBESTOS TYPE: OTHER FIBERS	02 NO/NO WHITE CAULKING NONE-DETECTED 2% CELLULOSE	LAYERS:	02	SAMPLE NO DATE OF ANALYSI:	.: 02 S: 04/15/16
NON FIBERS :	20% MINERALS 68% BITUMEN			10% PAINT	
LAB NO. : FRI/HOM : DESCRIPTION : ASBESTOS TYPE: OTHER FIBERS :	03 NO/NO WHITE CAULKING NONE-DETECTED 2% CELLULOSE	LAYERS:	02	SAMPLE NO DATE OF ANALYSIS	: 03 5: 04/15/16
NON FIBERS :	20% MINERALS 68% BITUMEN			10% PAINT	

Dove Environmental Corporation is solely responsible for analysis performed on sample content supplied by client and is NVLAP accredited for Method 40 CFR Pt. 736, App. E to Subpart B EPA/600/R-93/116. Measurement Uncertainty information is available by contacting the Laboratory. Laboratory Reports will be kept for a period of three (3) years electronically. Percentages are visually estimated. Point count performed at clients request only. Results relates only to item analyzed. This report should not be reproduced by client or anyone without written permision from Dove Environmental Corporation. All samples will be stored for a period of 1 month. The use of the term NVLAP does not constitute endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the United States Government. Floor-Tile is non-homogeneous and results only reflect sample content.

Analyst 1

-----

R. Pepe Ramnath, PhD LABORATORY MANAGER



DOVE ENVIRONMENTAL CORP.

8910 MIRAMAR PARKWAY, SUITE 200 MIRAMAR FL 33025

Tel. (954) 374-9274 Fax: (954) 639-7426

ASBESTOS TEST REPORT

CLIENT : DPS-CORP ADDRESS: 27915 JOHNSTON RD	PAGE : 2
DADE CITY FL 33523 PROJECT: MIDWAY BRIDGE	DATE : 04/15/16 SAMPLE ID : D604423
CONSULT: DON POLONIS	NVLAP Lab Code: 102053-0
LAB NO. : 04 FRI/HOM : NO/YES LAYERS: 01 DESCRIPTION : BLACK JOINT COMPOUND ASBESTOS TYPE: NONE-DETECTED OTHER FIBERS : 5% CELLULOSE	SAMPLE NO.: 04 DATE OF ANALYSIS: 04/15/16
NON FIBERS : 30% MINERALS	65% POLYMERS
LAB NO. : 05 FRI/HOM : NO/YES LAYERS: 01 DESCRIPTION : BLACK JOINT COMPOUND ASBESTOS TYPE: NONE-DETECTED OTHER FIBERS : 5% CELLULOSE	SAMPLE NO.: 05 DATE OF ANALYSIS: 04/15/16
NON FIBERS : 30% MINERALS	65% POLYMERS
LAB NO. : 06 FRI/HOM : NO/YES LAYERS: 01 DESCRIPTION : BLACK JOINT COMPOUND ASBESTOS TYPE: NONE-DETECTED OTHER FIBERS : 5% CELLULOSE	SAMPLE NO.: 06 DATE OF ANALYSIS: 04/15/16
NUN FIBERS : 30% MINERALS	65% POLYMERS

Dove Environmental Corporation is solely responsible for analysis performed on sample content supplied by client and is NVLAP accredited for Method 40 CFR Pt. 736, App. E to Subpart E BPA/600/R-93/116. Measurement Uncertainty information is available by contacting the Laboratory. Laboratory Reports will be kept for a period of three (3) years electronically. Percentages are visually estimated. Point count performed at clients request only. Results relates only to item analyzed. This report should not be reproduced by client or anyone without written permision from Dove Environmental Corporation. All samples will be stored for a period of 1 month. The use of the term NVLAP does not constitute endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the United States Government. Floor-Tile is non-homogeneous and results only reflect sample content.

Analyst 1

R. Pepe Ramnath, PhD LABORATORY MANAGER



DOVE ENVIRONMENTAL CORP. 8910 MIRAMAR PARKWAY, SUITE 200 MIRAMAR FL 33025 Tel. (954) 374-9274 Fax: (954) 639-7426

ASBESTOS TEST REPORT

ADDRESS: 27915 JOHNSTON RD	PAGE : 3		
DADE CITY FL 33523 PROJECT: MIDWAY BRIDGE		DATE : 04/15/16 SAMPLE ID : D604423	12
CONSULT: DON POLONIS		NVLAP Lab Code: 102053-0	
LAB NO. : 07 FRI/HOM : NO/NO DESCRIPTION : CONCRETE ASBESTOS TYPE: NONE-DETECTED	LAYERS: 02	SAMPLE NO.: 07 DATE OF ANALYSIS: 04/15/16	No. Carlo
UTHER FIBERS : 2% CELLULOSE NON FIBERS : 60% MINERALS 30% POLYMERS		8% PAINT	

Dove Environmental Corporation is solely responsible for analysis performed on sample content supplied by client and is NVLAP accredited for Method 40 CFR Pt. 736, App. E to Subpart B BPA/600/R-93/116. Measurement Uncertainty information is available by contacting the Laboratory. Laboratory Reports will be kept for a period of three (3) years electronically. Percentages are visually estimated. Point count performed at clients request only. Results relates only to item analyzed. This report should not be reproduced by client or anyone without written permision from Dove Environmental Corporation. All samples will be stored for a period of 1 month. The use of the term NVLAP does not constitute endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the United States Government. Floor-Tile is non-homogeneous and results only reflect sample content. · · · · ·

Analyst 1

..................

R. Pepe Ramnath, PhD LABORATORY MANAGER



DOVE ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORIES.

8910 Miramar Parkway, suite 200 Miramar Fl 33025

Tel(954-374-9274) Fax(954-639-7429)

E-mail: Dovelabs@dove.comcastbiz.net Web Address: dovelabs.org

## CHAIN OF CUSTODY - BULK ASBESTOS

Dove Client Name : DPS/TEF\_\_\_\_\_ Address :

Date :	4-13-16	
Lab ID:	D604432	
Total Sar	nples Collected: 7	

Tel. #/Fax #

Sample #	Description	Location	Results
١	White Calking A	Returning wall order bridge	
Z	·· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1, 1,	
3		r. ",	
4	Black Joint Compared A	Top Dek	
5	1 3 B	15 11	
6	11 13 C	·· · ·	
۲	Concele	bridge shotmat	

Project #

Project Name: Midway Bridge

:

:

In Polonis

Sampler's Signature

Sampler's Name

Date Collected By Sampler's Turn Around (Hrs):

Comment

<3\_8\_24\_48\_other\_\_\_\_ ( 5+ Å) Condition of sample(s)

received:

Received At Lab By : <u>Apple 114</u> Time Received At Lab : <u>10:30</u> Date Received At Lab : <u>04/14/16</u> Samples was login by : \_\_\_\_\_

Report Typed By: -----

Project Location: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Pt. Purce